

1 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)  
Acting United States Attorney

2 DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782)  
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 LLOYD FARNHAM (CABN 202231)  
Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
6 San Francisco, California 94102-3495  
7 Telephone: (415) 436-6973  
8 Facsimile: (415) 436-7027  
lloyd.farnham@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for United States of America

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CASE NO. 3:15-CR-502 WHA
14 Plaintiff,	)	
15 v.	)	<b>STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del> ORDER</b>
16 RICHARD LAURENCE STEWART,	)	<b>EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE</b>
17 Defendant.	)	<b>SPEEDY TRIAL ACT FROM NOVEMBER 10,</b>
	)	<b>2015 TO DECEMBER 1, 2015</b>
	)	
	)	
	)	

19  
20  
21  
22  
23 On November 10, 2015, defendant Richard Laurence Stewart appeared before this Court. At the  
24 hearing, the Court directed the parties to appear on December 1, 2015, for a further status conference.  
25 The parties agree that the defendant's counsel requires additional time to review discovery provided by  
26 the government, and the parties agree that the additional time is necessary for effective preparation.  
27 Therefore, the parties agree and jointly request that the time between November 10, 2015 and December  
28 1, 2015 should be excluded in order to ensure reasonable time necessary for the effective preparation of

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER  
CASE NO. 3:15-CR-502 WHA

counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

DATED: November 10, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

BRIAN J. STRETCH  
Acting United States Attorney

/s/ Lloyd Farnham  
LLOYD FARNHAM  
Assistant United States Attorney

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL  
PUBLIC DEFENDER


/s/ Elizabeth Falk  
ELIZABETH FALK  
Attorney for Defendant Richard Laurence Stewart

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from November 10, 2015, through and including December 1, 2015, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 17, 2015.

  
HON. WILLIAM ALSUP  
United States District Court Judge